CONNECTION PROTECTION	
Same Mana	
FLORIDA	

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0112489 DAT	ГЕ: <u>09/29/2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>2:00PM</u>	DEPART: <u>3:30PM</u>
FACILITY NAME: CAP	PITAL 1 PRICE DRY CLEAN		
FACILITY LOCATION:	3177 N SR 7		
	MARGATE 33063		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIO	D REPRESENTATIVE: Jae L DD: 8/2/2007 / 8/2/2012 (effective date) (end date)	ee PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	: 9711975 (954)675-6374 :
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS (che CE MINOR Non-COMPL		T Non-COMPLIANCE
 A. 1. Existing small dry-to-dry only transfer only, > both types, x < (constructed be 3. Existing large dry-to-dry only transfer only, 2 both types, 140 (constructed be 5. Ineligible for d rop store/out facility exceed 	bonly one box in A) d area source y, x < 140 gal/yr x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr before $12/9/91$) e area source $ y, 140 \le x \le 2,100 \text{ gal/yr}$ $200 \le x \le 1,800 \text{ gal/yr}$ $40 \le x \le 1,800 \text{ gal/yr}$ $10 \le x \le 1,$	 213.300 FAC 2. New small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 transfer only, x < 200 ga both types, x < 140 gal/2 (constructed on or after 4. New large area source dry-to-dry only, 140 ≤ transfer only, 200 ≤ x both types, 140 ≤ x ≤ (constructed on or after) gal/yr al/yr yr 12/9/91) \square $x \le 2,100$ gal/yr $\le 1,800$ gal/yr 1,800 gal/yr

cleaning facility was tt.00 gallons.

PA	ART III: <u>GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC	````	check ☑ x for each d	only o questio	
1.	Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	Yes	🛛 No		N/A
2.	Are all perc. containers leak free ?	Yes	🛛 No		N/A
3.	Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?	Yes	🗌 No		
4.	Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?	Yes	🗌 No		N/A
5.	Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.	Yes	No		N/A
6.	Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?	Yes	🗌 No		N/A

PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC

(Refer to Part II-A.1.-4. Classification: page <u>1</u> of <u>4</u>, this form)

1. If the f acility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required. Proceed to Part V.

2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete section A. below.

3. If the fa cility classification is an **existing large area source**, the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber . **Complete both sections A and B below.** *Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993*

4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.

A.	Has the responsible official of all existing large area & new sources:	· ·	check ☑ x for each c	only one [uestion)
1.	Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	Yes	🛛 No	
2.	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?	Yes	🗌 No	

PA	PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)					
	For all existing large or new large area sources: Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?		Yes	🗌 No		
2.	Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?		Yes	🗌 No	□ N/A	
	a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F?		Yes	No No	N/A	
3.	Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber,					
	if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?		Yes	No No	N/A	
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A	
4.	Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend,					
	contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A	
5.	Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A	
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A	

PA	ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC		check 🗹 ox for each c	only one [uestion)
1.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?	Yes	🛛 No	
2.	Are rolling monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained ?	Yes	🛛 No	
3.	Are leak detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following:			
	a) Of any leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or;	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	b) Of any parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Is calibration data maintained for applicable direct reading instruments?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Is exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations maintained?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Is a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan maintained for each machine?	Yes	🗌 No	
7.	Are deviation reports maintained?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	a) Problem corrected?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
8.	Is a compliance plan maintained , if applicable?	Yes	🛛 No	N/A

P	ART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC	(check 🗹 only one
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	box for each question)
	Halogenated hydrocarbon detector PCE gas analyzer None used	
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to	
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate	
	procedure) ?	Yes 🛛 No
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer	
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes 🗌 No 🖾 N/A
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of	
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along	
	the interface periphery?	Yes 🗌 No
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or	
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per	
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes DNO N/A
6.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector capable of detecting vapor concentrations	
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and	
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting	
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? $\hfill \hfill $	Yes No N/A
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sn	nell or touch) while the
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?	
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	pection of perceptible leaks)
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills C) c) Filter gaskets and seating Yes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers C) d) Pumps Yes No N/A j) Diverter valves Yes	Yes No N/A Yes No N/A
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a halog	enated hydrocarbon detector
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parage	graph shall satisfy the
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under $(3.322(k) \text{ or } (l))$	
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills C) c) Filter gaskets and seating Yes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers C) d) Pumps Yes No N/A j) Diverter valves Yes	Yes No N/A

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-	PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)						
 9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as required? Leak log documentation RO Assurances On-site observation other Explain other : 							
Elizabeth F.Susky 09/29/2010							
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection						
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection						
COMMENTS: In a compliance inspection conducted on 09/29/2010, AQD staff observed operations at Capital One Dry Cleaner located @ 3177 North State Rd. 7, Margate. FL 33063. The owner was not present during the inspection. The facility has one PERC dry-cleaning machine. The spotting board area did not have any metal plating beneath it and epoxy paint was worn. Behind the PERC dry-cleaning machine an open bucket with possible separator water was observed out of containment and venting to the atmosphere. The Rema vacuum was observed in the boiler room, but had no secondary containment. The drums of hazardous waste were stacked on top of one another and labels were worn. Only one drum of hazardous waste had a locking ring. The attendant at the facility was not aware of where any of the record-keeping was kept. No DEP calendar, PERC receipts, or MSDS sheets or manifests were available for inspection. The facility did not seem to have PERC sniffer to cheak for leaks. One piece of equipment was attached							

to a condensate and was venting to the ground. No hazardous material license was observed or posted for inspection.